

(JAPLA 2008/06/28)

On the problem of “PARTITION of INTEGERS

Institute of Statistical Mathematics
(Emeritus Professor) Giitiro SUZUKI

Here is 4 pieces of ORANGE. Suppose that they take these sharing by somebody.
How many methods of sharing can be considered ?

- i) 4 is taken by one person
- ii) 3 is taken by one person and 1 is taken by another person
- iii) 2 are taken respectively by two persons
- iv) 2 is taken by one person and 1 are taken by other two persons
- v) 4 are taken separately by four persons

Thus there are 5 methods. We write this answer as follows :

4	3 1	2 2	2 1 1	1 1 1 1
---	-----	-----	-------	---------

This is the problem of “ PARTITION of INTEGERS “.

The function “part” is defined as follows::

dev=:3 :0 r=.y;<"1(y-t),.t=.>:i.y-h=<.->:ywhile.h>1 do.r=.r,<(s>0)#s=.s,y-+/s=.(<.y%h)\$h=.h-1 end.)	next=;3 0 m=.>:#(1=s)#s=.>y h=((#s)-m){.s [t=(.m){.s=.>y <h,+/&>(-<:{.t)<\(+/t)\$1)
devide=:3 :0 t=.r=.y while.(+/.s)>#(s=1)#s=.>t do.r=.r,t=.next t end.)	part=:3 :0 r=.2{.s [t=(.(-#s)+<:k=_1){.s=.dev y while.k<y-3 do.r=.r,devide(k=.k+1){ t end.)

We can confirm that “part” is well defined by the following examples.

part 4	4	3 1	2 2	2 1 1	1 1 1 1
--------	---	-----	-----	-------	---------

part 5

5	4 2	3 2	3 1 1	2 2 1	2 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1
---	-----	-----	-------	-------	---------	-----------

10 4 \$ p10=:part 10

10	9 1	8 2	8 1 1
7 3	7 2 1	7 1 1 1	6 4
6 3 1	6 2 2	6 2 1 1	6 1 1 1 1
5 5	5 4 1	5 3 2	5 3 1 1
5 2 2 1	5 2 1 1 1	5 1 1 1 1 1	4 4 2
4 4 1 1	4 3 3	4 3 2 1	4 3 1 1 1
4 2 2 2	4 2 2 1 1	4 2 1 1 1 1	4 1 1 1 1 1 1
3 3 3 1	3 3 2 2	3 3 2 1 1	3 3 1 1 1 1
3 2 2 2 1	3 2 2 1 1 1	3 2 1 1 1 1 1	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 1 1	2 2 2 1 1 1 1	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1

2 {. p10

2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
---------------------	---------------------

\$ p11=:part 11

56

2 {. p11

2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
---------------------	---------------------

\$ p12=:part 12

77

2 {. p12

2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	1

【computing times of the function “part”】

We can not confirm that the new function of “M.” version J6.02 fulfill exactly.

NB. tc=:6!:2

tc' P10=:part 10'	tc' P20=:part 20'	tc' P30=:part 30'
0. 0101714	0. 110142	1. 41232
# P10	# P20	# P30
42	627	5604
tc' P40=:part 40'	tc' P50=:part 50'	Computation time increases abruptly as n increases.
32. 2255	1719. 47	
# P40	# P50	

37338

204226

When we change "part" to the following "partno", computation time is shortened.

devno=:3 :0 r=. 1 [t=. y while. (+/}. s)>#(s=1)#s=. >t do. r=. r+#+t=. next t end.)	partno=:3 :0 t=. (-(#s)-(r=. 3)+k=. _1) {. s=. dev y while. k<y-4 do. r=. r+devno(k=. k+1) {t end.)	
tc' n40=:partno 40' 4. 80605 n40 37338	tc' n60=:partno 60' 123. 875 n60 966467	tc' n80=:partno 80' 2567. 82 n80 15796476
tc' n100=:partno 100' 31109 n100 190569292	24 60 60 #: 31109 8 38 29 When n=100, computation time of "partno" takes about 9 hours !	

We note that there are some pair of integers where the recurrence relation does not hold.

For example,

102-L:0 no 102
$p(5) = -1 + p(4) + p(3) = -1 + 5 + 3 = 7$
$p(7) = -1 + p(6) + p(5) - p(2) = -1 + 11 + 7 - 2 = -1 + 16 = 15$
$p(12) = 1 + p(11) + p(10) - \{p(7) + p(5)\} = (56 + 42) - (15 + 7) = 1 + 76 = 77$
$p(15) = 1 + \{p(14) + p(13)\} - \{p(10) + p(8)\} + p(3) = 135 + 101 - (42 + 22) + 3 = 176$
$\begin{aligned} p(22) &= -1 + \{p(21) + p(20)\} - \{p(17) + p(15)\} + \{p(10) + p(7)\} \\ &= -1 + \{792 + 627\} - \{297 + 176\} + \{42 + 15\} = -1 + \{1419\} - \{473\} + \{57\} = 1002 \end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} p(26) &= -1 + \{p(25) + p(24)\} - \{p(21) + p(19)\} + \{p(14) + p(11)\} - p(4) \\ &= -1 + \{1958 + 1575\} - \{792 + 490\} + \{135 + 56\} - 5 = -1 + 3533 - 1282 + 191 - 5 = 2436 \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(35) &= 1 + \{p(34) + p(33)\} - \{p(30) + p(28)\} + \{p(23) + p(25)\} - \{p(13) + p(19)\} \\
 &= 1 + \{12310 + 10143\} - \{5604 + 3718\} + \{1255 + 627\} - \{101 + 30\} = 14883 \\
 p(40) &= 1 + \{p(39) + p(38)\} - \{p(35) + p(33)\} + \{p(28) + p(25)\} - \{p(18) + p(14)\} + p(5) \\
 &= 1 + \{31185 + 26015\} - \{14883 + 10143\} + \{3718 + 1958\} - \{385 + 135\} + 7 = 37338
 \end{aligned}$$

We find that the best computational recursive method as follows :

r10 1 2 3 5 7 11 15 22 30 42]'s t':=:red 11	s 10 9	pp=:(<:s) {r10 42 30]p=:+/_pp 72	qq=:(<:t) {r10 11 5]q=:+/_qq 16	p-q 56 this "p11"
--	-----------	--	---	----------------------------

{:r11=:r10, p-q 56 's t':=:red 12	s 11 10	pp=:(<:s) {r11 56 42]p=:+/_pp 98	qq=:(<:t) {r11 15 7]q=:+/_qq 22	p-q 76 1+p-q 77 this "p12" "
---	------------	--	---	---

Note that "r12" is "1+p-q" added to "r11"

{:r12=:r11, 1+p-q 77 's t':=:red 13	134	p=:+/_(<:s) {r12]p=:+/_pp	q=:+/_(<:t) {r12 33	p-q 101 "p13"
{:r13=:r12, p-q 101 's t':=:red 14	180	p=:+/_(<:s) {r13]p=:+/_pp	q=:+/_(<:t) {r13 45	p-q 135 "p14"
{:r14=:r13, p-q 135 's t':=:red 15	239	p=:+/_(<:s) {r14]p=:+/_pp	q=:+/_(<:t) {r14 64	1+p-q 176 "p15"
{:r15=:r14, 1+p-q 176 's t':=:red 16	317	p=:+/_(<:s) {r15]p=:+/_pp	q=:+/_(<:t) {r15 86	p-q 231 "p16"

When we change "partno" to the following "part_no" , the computation time is just
drastically shortened.

<pre> no=:3 :0 r=. <1, 1+s=. (k=. 0) {t=. +:&. >:i. y while. y>s do. r=. r, <s, (+>:k)+s=. ((k=. k+1) {t)+{:}>{:r end. ((>0:)#])L:0 y-L:0}:r) pq=:3 :0 r=. <12, 12+s=. (k=. 0) {t=. +:&. >:1+i. b=. >. y%10 while. y>{.>{:r do. r=. r, <s, (3+k)+s=. 2+((k=. k+1) {t)+{:}>{:r end. qq=. r-. pp=. (+:i. >.-:#r) {r ((p<:y)#p=. ;pp);(q<:y)#q=. ;qq) part_no=:3 :0 k=. #r=. 1 2 3 5 7 11 15 22 30 42 if. y<11 do. (<:y) {r else. 'p q'=. pq y while. k<y do. s=. (+/(<:>{. h) {r)-+/(<:>{:h=. red k=. k+1) {r {:r=. r, s+(k e. p)-k e. q end. end. end.) </pre>	<pre> red=:3 :0 if. y<13 do. r=. no y else. t=. ((>. k%2), 2)\$c=. i. k=. #r=. no y p=.;(;{."1 t) {r p;(;((s>0)#s=. {:"1 t) {r end.) r30=:part_no"0>:i. 30 no 26 25 24 21 19 14 11 4]' s t'=:red 26 25 24 14 11 21 19 4 pp;p=:+/pp=:(<:s) {r30 1958 1575 135 56 3724 qq;q=:+/qq=:(<:t) {r30 792 490 5 1287 p - q 2437] a b'=:pq 26 12 15 22 26 (p-q)+(26 e. a)-26 e. b 2436 25 { r30 just " p26" for n=26 ! </pre>
--	---

3 10 \$ part_no"0 >:i. 30	
1 2 3 5 7 11 15 22 30 42 56 77 101 135 176 231 297 385 490 627 792 1002 1255 1575 1958 2436 3010 3718 4565 5604	$p(1) \sim p(30)$
part_no"0(10*4+>:i. 7)	p40~p100(10)
204226 966467 4087968 15796476 56634173 190569292 607163746	

tc' p200=:x:part_no 200'	tc' p800=:x:part_no 800'
0. 193761	1. 76496
p200	p800
3972999029388	5733259907364596643528704000
tc' p300=:x:part_no 300'	tc' p900=:x:part_no 900'
0. 665288	2. 1649
p300	p900
9253082936723528	415935058092506687910289866752
tc' p400=:x:part_no 400'	tc' p1000=:x:part_no 1000'
0. 554037	2. 61234
p400	p1000
6727090051588284416	24070293522200282323346880724992
tc' p500=:x:part_no 500'	tc' p1500=:x:part_no 1500'
0. 803441	5. 73519
p500]P1500=:}. ." :p1500
2300165087559805829120	892120554652152127515929766651194507264
tc' p600=:x:part_no 600'	tc' p2000=:x:part_no 2000'
1. 39866	9. 46603
p600	(Note that computation time is less than ten second !)
458005063749494810607616]p2000=:}. ." :p2000
tc' p700=:x:part_no 700'	4242090736196891424949948886323686243393769308160
1. 41037	\$&> p1500;p2000
p700	39
60378645638998065139417088	49

